

# Remaining Modularity Work for Rice

Original Rice 2.0 Modularity Design and Analysis

## To Dos

1. [Finish work on Rice IMPL](#)
  - a. KCB and KEN stuff should be easy to work with by moved into a KEN IMPL Module
  - b. KNS package should be move up to KNS module
  - c. Module service base should be move up from KRAD to the KRAD APP or Framework
    - i. allows for core service and location out of rice impl
  - d. Analyze KEW to see how it needs to be refactored to it's own module
  - e. Analyze KIM as well
  - f. Analyze the KRAD pieces and decide which needs to go where by package and classes
2. [Run latex tool against our code](#)
3. [Get rid of groovy stuff](#)
4. [Look at Web Content modularity remaining work](#)
  - a. Focus on KRAD and what makes most sense for it being our Web Content delivery method going forward
5. [Figure out how to fix the EBO issue and replace it](#)

## Rice impl work Discussion Notes KCW2012

- Rice impl needs modularized
  - There are some things that just don't go into an implementation module,
  - Some need to go up to our framework as well. That will be the challenge since it's difficult to do.
  - The route context in the KEW section will be a bigger issue
  - Most of the KEW stuff in there was pretty jumbled, how do we do this in a way that's not majorly impactful
  - We'll probably have to create new versions and keep but deprecate the old methods.
    - This should affect version compatibility for client-server, but couldn't do this in a vacuum, would have to talk to the roadmap committees.
    - Should do this one step at a time instead of a larger roadmap version
  - Definitely want to get all the KRAD stuff pulled out as a first step.
  - Would want to keep the package names stay the same, we
  - Could run some packaging changes by the TRC
  - There's a bunch of stuff in KIM as well, the UI mostly, that shouldn't break our API (maintenance, trans, business objects)
  - KNS doesn't have a lot of stuff though
  - KIM and KEN do, though KIM should be cleaned up our business objects don't link up with our transfer objects
- Run latex tool against our code
- Get rid of groovy stuff
- Another idea would be to push this out to the 3.0 release, KRAD and JPA and such
- Need to do an analysis of the current module from the KRAD/KNS viewpoint and then another group look at the non-KRAD/KNS pieces
  - Do we still need an API and an IMPL for krad modules?
    - In anything that we expect the public to extend, we should have an API.
    - We need to look at what we can do to making extendable items safe and well documented
    - Would be nice to forgo the IMPL side if we can, but it may not always be the case
  - Want to see KRAD be well packaged and modularized
- Need to know if we can overlay multiple war packages in one jar file
- KFS uses EBOs extensively so we need to ensure we don't mess things up while/when we do this with our new design
  - If this is an instance of an externalized business object...